04:33pm

From-BST&Z San Jose

#0597582905D CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OFFICIAL

T-259 P.006/030 F-526

11-13-03

Attorney's Docket No. 5214P001R

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Kuriacose JOSEPH, et al.

Application No.: 09/672,523

Filed: September 27, 2000

For:

A METHOD AND SYSTEM TO FACILITATE ORDERING OF AN

ITEM (As Amended)

Examiner: Kalinowski, Alexander G.

Art Group: 3626

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being faxed to the United States Patent and Trademark

Office.

PLOVEMBER Date of Deposit

Leslie Kogan

Signature Date 11/10/0:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

-

In response to the Office Action mailed July 9, 2003, the Examiner is respectfully requested to enter this amendment and to consider the remarks that follow.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims that begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 13 of this paper.

Amendments to the Claims:

Please cancel claims 26 and 56, without prejudice.

Please amend the claims as follows:

10. (Currently Amended) A method of facilitating ordering an item using a distributed computing system including at least one client and at least one server, the method including:

receiving data, at the client, the data including information to at least one of show and describe the item via the client and an item identifier to enable the client to identify the item as currently being offered for sale;

at least one of showing and describing an the item to a user via the client

enabling the user to <u>place an</u> order <u>for</u> the item by a single action with respect to the client <u>while the item is being offered for sale</u>, the single action being in connection with the order; and

in response to the single action with respect to the client, causing an the order for the item to be placed,

wherein the enabling of the user to place the order for the item by the single action includes using (1) previously stored, user related personal information that is stored in a memory associated with the client for repeated use in enabling further orders for further items to be placed and so that it is not necessary to solicit the user related, personal information each time a further order is placed and (2) previously received information related to the item identifier to enable the client to identify the item as currently being offered for sale at the time of the single action.

11. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 10, wherein the single action is one of a group including:

408 947 8280

selecting of a single button; and pressing of a single button on a TV remote control.

- 12. (Canceled)
- 13. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 10, wherein the user related, personal information includes at least one of a group including a user's name, address, method of payment and payment account number.
- 14. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 10, wherein the user related, personal information is stored in memory in the client.
- 15. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 10, wherein the distributed computing system is an interactive television system and wherein the at least one of showing and describing of the item is, at least in part, by a television signal.
- 16. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 10, wherein the client includes an auxiliary data processor and a client computer.
- 17. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 12 10, wherein the client is associated with at least a set top box, and wherein the user related, personal information is stored at the set top box.
- 18. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 17, wherein the set top box is in communication with a local computer and associated storage and wherein the method further includes:

the client retrieving information from one or more of the local computer and the associated storage.

- 19. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 18, wherein the method further includes: controlling the client by means of the local computer.
- 20. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 18, wherein the local computer is part of a local area network.
- 21. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 10, wherein the system further includes a central processing facility in communication with the server and wherein the method includes:

sending information used in processing the order from the client to the central processing facility.

- 22. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 10, further including: sending an order confirmation to the user to confirm the order.
- 23. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 21, further including: communicating information between the client and the server via the central processing facility.
- 24. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 23, wherein a telephone system acts as the central processing facility.
- 25. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 10 including receiving at the client data including
 - (a) information to at least one of show and describe the item via the client; and
 - (b) information to enable the user to order the item by the single action with respect to the client.
- 26. (Canceled)

- 27. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 26 10 wherein the item identifier includes at least one of a group of identifiers including a code and a command.
- (Currently Amended) A method of facilitating ordering an item, the method 28. including:

providing a client with information to at least one of show and describe an item to a user, and with an item identifier to identify the item as currently being offered for sale; and

enabling the user to place an order for the item by a single action with respect to the client, the single action being in connection with the order,

wherein the enabling of the user to place the order for the item by the single action includes using (1) previously stored, user related, personal information that is stored in a memory for repeated use in enabling further orders for further items to be placed and so that it is not necessary to solicit the user related, personal information each time a further order is placed, and (2) previously provided item information related to the item identifier information to identify the item that is as currently being offered for sale at the time of the single action.

- 30. (Canceled)
- 29. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 28, wherein the single action includes a at least one of a group including:

selecting of a single button; and pressing of a single button on a TV remote control.

31. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 28, wherein the user related, personal information includes at least one of a group including a user's name, address, method of payment and payment account number.

- 32. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 28, including retrieving the user related, personal information from a memory associated with the client.
- 33. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 28, including providing the information to at least one of show and describe the item in the form of a television signal.
- 34. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 28 including communicating with a central processing facility and wherein the client sends the order to the central processing facility for receipt via a transceiver.
- 35. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 34 wherein a telephone system acts as the central processing facility.
- 36. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 28 including providing an order confirmation to the client to confirm the order.
- 37. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 28 including multiplexing the provision of the information to at least one of show and describe the item and code to the client to thereby generate data for transmission to the client.
- 38. (Currently Amended) A computer system to order an item, the system including: a data receiver to receive data including information to at least one of show and describe the item, and an item identifier to identify the item as currently being offered for sale:
 - a data processing system to at least one of show and describe an item to a user; and
 - a client to enable the user to place an order for the item by a single action with respect to the client while the item is being offered for sale and, in response to the single action, to cause an the order for the item to be placed,

wherein the client is to enable the user to place the order for the item by the single action using (1) previously stored, user related, personal information that is stored in a memory for repeated use in enabling further orders for further items to be placed and so that it is not necessary to solicit the user related, personal information each time a further order is placed and (2) previously received information related to the item identifier identifying the item that is as currently being offered for sale at the time of the single action.

39. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 38, wherein the single action includes at least one of a group including:

selecting of a single button; and pressing of a single button on a TV remote control.

- 40. (Canceled)
- 41. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 38, wherein the user related, personal information includes at least one of a group including a user's name, address, method of payment and payment account number.
- 42. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 38, wherein the user related, personal information is stored in memory of the client.
- 43. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 38, wherein the computer system is an interactive television system and wherein the at least one of showing and describing of the item by the data processing system is, at least in part, performed utilizing a television signal.
- 44. (Canceled)
- 45. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 38, wherein the client is associated with at least a set top box, and wherein the user related, personal information is stored at the set top box.

- 46. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 45, wherein the set top box is in communication with a local computer and associated storage and wherein the client is to retrieve information from one or more of the local computer and the associated storage.
- 47. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 46, wherein the local computer controls the client.
- 48. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 46, wherein the local computer is part of a local area network.
- 49. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 38, including a central processing facility in communication with a server and wherein the client sends information used in processing to the central processing facility.
- 50. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 49 wherein the server is to send an order confirmation to the user to confirm the order.
- 51. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 49, wherein the central processing facility is to communicate information between the client and the server.
- 52. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 51 wherein a telephone system acts as the central processing facility.
- 53. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 38 including a wherein the data receiver is to receive the data including:

information to at least one of show and describe the item via the client;

information to enable the user to order the item by the single action with respect to the client.

04:34pm

- 54. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 53 wherein the data receiver includes an auxiliary data extractor to extract the information to at least one of show and describe from the data and a packet data extractor to extract the information to enable from the data.
- 55. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 54 wherein the auxiliary data. extractor provides the information to at least one of show and describe to the data processing system and the packet data extractor provides the information to enable to the client.
- 56. (Canceled)

From-BST&Z San Jose

- 57. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 56 38 wherein the item identifier includes at least one a group of identifiers including a code and a command.
- 58. (Currently Amended) A computer system to facilitate ordering an item, the system including:

a data source to provide a client with first information to at least one of show and describe an item to a user, and third information to identify the item as currently being offered for sale; and

an information source to provide the client with second information to enable the user to place an order for the item by a single action with respect to the client,

wherein the client is to enable the user to place the order for the item, while being offered for sale, by the single action using (1) previously stored, user related, personal information that is stored in a memory for repeated use in enabling further orders for further items to be placed and so that it is not necessary to solicit the user related, personal information each time a further order is placed and (2) previously received information related to the third information identifying the item that is as currently being offered for sale at the time of the single action.

59. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 58, wherein the single action includes at least one of a group including:

408 947 8280

- a selecting of a single button; and
- a pressing of a single button on a TV remote control.
- 60. (Canceled)
- 61. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 58, wherein the user related, personal information includes at least one of a group including a user's name, address, method of payment and payment account number.
- 62. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 58, wherein the second information includes code executable by the client to retrieve the user related, personal information from a memory associated with the client.
- 63. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 58, wherein the data source is to provide the information in the form of a television signal.
- 64. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 58 including a data transceiver to communicate with a central processing facility and wherein the client sends the order to the central processing facility for receipt via the data transceiver.
- 65. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 64 wherein a telephone system acts as the central processing facility.
- 66. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 58 wherein the data source is to provide an order confirmation to the client to confirm the order.
- 67. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 58 including a multiplexer to multiplex the provision of the first information to at least one of show and describe and

the second information to enable to the client to thereby generate data for transmission to the client.

68 - 245 (Canceled)

- 246. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 25 wherein the information to enable includes code executable by the client to enable the user to order the item by the single action with the client.
- 247. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 25 wherein the information to enable includes data to be processed by code executable by the client to enable the user to order the item by the single action with the client.
- 248. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 28 wherein the enabling includes providing code to enable the user to order the item.
- 249. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 28 wherein the enabling includes providing data to be processed by code to enable the user to order the item.
- 250. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 53 wherein the information to enable includes code to enable the user to order the item.
- 251. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 53 wherein the information to enable includes data to be processed by code to enable the user to order the item.
- 252. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 58 wherein the second information to enable includes code to be executed by the client to enable the user to order the item.
- 253. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 58 wherein the information to enable includes data to be processed by code to enable the user to order the item.

254 - 259 (Canceled)

260. (Currently Amended) A machine-readable medium embodying a sequence of instructions that, when executed by a machine, cause the machine to facilitate ordering an item within a distributed computing system including at least one client and at least one server by:

of show and describe the item via the client and an item identifier to enable the client to identify the item as currently being offered for sale;

at least one of showing and describing an the item to a user via the client; enabling the user to place an order for the item by a single action with respect to the client while the item is being offered for sale, the single action being in connection with the order,

in response to the single action with respect to the client, causing an the order for the item to be placed,

wherein the enabling of the user to order the item by the single action includes using (1) previously stored, user related, personal information that is stored in a memory associated with the client for repeated use in enabling further orders for further items to be placed and so that it is not necessary to solicit the user related, personal information each time a further order is placed and (2) previously received information related to the item identifier to enable the client to identify the item that is as currently being offered for sale at the time of the single action.

- 261. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium of claim 260, wherein the medium includes a data stream.
- 262. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium of claim 260, wherein the medium includes a mass storage device.

263. (Currently Amended) A machine-readable medium embodying a sequence of instructions that, when executed by a machine, cause the machine to facilitate ordering an item by:

providing a client with information to at least one of show and describe an item to a user, and with an item identifier to identify the item as currently being offered for sale; and

enabling the user to <u>place an</u> order <u>for</u> the item by a single action with respect to the client, the single action being in connection with the order, wherein the enabling of the user to <u>place the</u> order <u>for</u> the item by the single action includes using (1) previously stored, user related, personal information that is stored in a memory for repeated use in enabling further orders for further items to be placed and so that it is not necessary to solicit the user related, personal information each time a further order is placed, and (2) <u>previously provided item information related to the item identifier information to identify</u> the item that is as currently being offered for sale at the time of the single action.

264 - 357 (Canceled)

THE RESIDENCE BETWEEN THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA

1. Summary of the Office Action

Claims 10, 11, 13-29, 31-39, 41-43, 45-59, 61-67, 246-253, and 260-263 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based.

Claim 17 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicants regard as the invention.

Further, claims 10, 12, 15, 16, 21-26, 28, 33-36, 38, 40, 43, 51-54, 58, 60, 63-66, 260, 262, and 263 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,621,456 (hereinafter Florin) in view of US Patent No. 4,734,858 (hereinafter Schlafly).

Further, claims 11, 29, 39, and 59 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Florin and Schlafly, as applied to claims 10, 28, 38 and 58, and further in view of Zachary et al, "Technology: HP is building Gadget to Make TVS Interactive" (hereinafter Zachary).

Claims 13, 14, 17, 31, 32, 41, 42, 45, 61, and 62 also stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Florin and Schlafly, as applied to claims 10, 28, 38 and 58, and further in view of US Patent No. 4,163,255 (hereinafter Pires).

Claim 27 also stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Florin and Schlafly, as applied to claim 26, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,965,825 (hereinafter Harvey.).

Claims 18-20 and 46-48 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Florin and Schlafly, as applied to claims 10 and 38, and further in view of US Patent No. 4,789,895 (hereinafter Mustafa).

2. Response to § 251 Rejection

The Applicants respectfully disagree that the claims identified under this rejection constitute "an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent on which the present reissue is based" (Office Action, page 2). The Applicants respectfully disagree that any broadening aspects of the reissue claims are related to subject matter that the Applicants previously surrendered, during the prosecution of U.S. Patent No. 5,819,034 (the '034 patent). The Applicant's reasons in connection with this position are set out more fully below.

A failure of a patentee (or a patentee's attorney) to appreciate the full scope of the invention during the prosecution of the original patent application is well recognized as an error correctable by a broadening reissue. See Amos, 953 F.2d at 616, 21 USPQ2d at 1273; In re Wilder, 736 F.2d 1516, 1519, 222 USPQ 369, 371 (Fed. Cir. 1984). This form of error has generally been accepted as sufficient to satisfy the "error" requirement of § 251. See Clement, 131 F.3d at 1468, 45 USPQ2d at 1163; Wilder, 736 F.2d at 1519, 222 USPQ at 371.

Broadening reissues are subject to the "recapture rule", which is discussed in Clement, 131 F.3d at 1468, 45 USPQ2d at 1164. The recapture rule "prevents a patentee from regaining through reissue . . . subject matter that he surrendered in an effort to obtain allowance of the original claims." Clement, 131 F.3d at 1468, 45 USPQ2d at 1164. The rule is rooted in the "error" requirement in that such a surrender is not the type of correctable "error" contemplated by the reissue statute. See Mentor, 998 F.2d at 995-96, 27 USPQ2d at 1525.

The Federal Circuit, in <u>Clement</u>, 131, F. 3d 1464, 1468-70, 45 USPQ2 at 1161, 1163-65 (Fed. Cir. 1997), stated that an analysis under the recapture rule includes a four-step analysis, namely:

- Determining whether and in what "aspects" the reissue claims are broader than the claims of the issued patent. A reissue claim that does not include a limitation present in the original patent claims is broader in that respect. <u>See id</u>.
- 2. Determining whether the broader aspects of the reissue claims relate to surrendered subject matter. "To determine whether an applicant surrendered particular subject matter, we look to the prosecution history for arguments and changes to the claims made in an effort to overcome a prior art rejection." See id. at 1469, 45 USPQ2d at 1164.
- Determining whether the surrendered subject matter has "crept" into the reissue claims.
- 4. Determining whether the reissue claims are materially narrowed, relative to the claims of the issued patent, in other respects, with a view to determing whether the recapture may be avoided. For example, in <u>Ball Corp. v. United States</u>, the recapture rule was avoided because the reissue claims were sufficiently narrowed (described by the court as "fundamental narrowness") despite the broadened aspects of the claims. 729 F.2d at 1438, 221 USPQ at 296.

Applying the above discussed analysis under the recapture rule to the claims of the present reissue application, it is apparent that the reissue claims are broader than the issued claims of the '034 patent in certain aspects, but also narrower relative to the issued

claims in other respects. Specifically the claims of the present reissue application are directed to facilitating ordering an item using a distributed computer system. Accordingly, limitations of at least the independent claims of the present reissue application are absent from the independent claims of the '034 patent. Consider, for example, the first limitation of independent claim one of the '034 patent, which requires the following:

A source of a data stream providing a series of time division multiplexed packets, ones of which contain auxiliary data that represent a video program, and others of which represent a distributed computing application associated with said video program, and wherein said distributed computing application is repetitively transmitted independent of receiving client computer apparatus during times that said video program is transmitted. ('034 patent, column 14, lines 37-45).

Clearly, claim 10 of the present reissue application does not include a limitation corresponding to the above-identified limitation of claim 1 of the '034 patent, and claim 10 of the present reissue application is accordingly broader in this respect.

On the other hand, consider the following limitation of claim 10 of the reissue claims:

Enabling the user to place an order for the item with a single action with respect to the client, while the item is being offered for sale, the single action being in connection with the order.

The issued claims of the '034 patent do not include a limitation corresponding to the above-identified limitation of claim 10 of the reissue application. In this respect, claim 10 of the reissued claims has been materially narrowed, relative to the claims of the '034 patent. The Applicants believe that this constitutes what is identified as a

"fundamental narrowness", identified in <u>Ball Corp.</u>, which avoids the recapture rule, despite broadened aspects of the claims.

Further, as limitations of the issued claims of the '034 patent are not present in the reissue claims, the Applicants believe that the broader aspects of the reissue claims (i.e., the aspects corresponding to limitations in the '034 patent that are absent in the independent claims of the current reissue application) do not relate to surrendered subject matter. This is because the Applicants could not, by way of argument or amendment, surrender subject matter corresponding to the limitations of the present reissue claims in view of the absence of these limitations from the issued claims of the '034 patent. Consider for example that the claims of the '034 patent do not include any limitations directed to enabling "the user to place an order for an item by a single action." Accordingly, the opportunity did not arise during the prosecution of the '034 patent for the Applicants to in fact surrender subject matter pertaining to this limitation. In short, the subject matter of the independent claims of the current reissue application is different from the subject matter of the issue claims of the '034 patent, and the question of recaptured subject matter does not arise. This dovetails with the above position that the reissue claims are sufficiently narrowed, despite the broadened aspects of the claims, so as to avoid the recapture rule.

In conclusion, the Applicants believe that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 251 has been fully addressed, and withdrawal of this rejection is requested.

The Applicants note that the Office Action states that "[a]t a minimum, the claims require at least one of the following limitations which were argued as the patentable feature for the issued independent claims 1, 6, 7, and 9 in the 08/233,098 application", whereafter the limitations of the identified independent claims are listed (Office Action, pages 3-5). The Applicants fail to fully understand the reason for this alleged requirement. The Applicants also draw the Examiner's attention to the co-pending divisional reissue application serial number 09/903,458, in which claims corresponding to the issued claims of the '034 patent are presented. It will be recalled that, on the

suggestion of the Examiner, a number of divisional reissue applications were filed based off the current reissue application, each of these divisional applications including claims that were originally included in the current reissue application. Claims corresponding to the claims of the divisional reissue applications were then cancelled from the current reissue application, by way of a preliminary amendment dated April 13, 2001.

By requiring that a limitation in the claims of the '034 be present in the claims of the present reissue application, we speculate that reference is being made to the "orginal invention" requirement. We below quote the pertinent section (1412.01) from the MPEP:

1412.01 Reissue Claims Must Be for Same General Invention

The reissue claims must be for the same invention as that disclosed as being the invention in the original patent, as required by 35 U.S.C. 251. This does not mean that the invention claimed in the reissue must have been claimed in the original patent, although this is evidence that applicants considered it their invention. The entire disclosure, not just the claim(s), is considered in determining what the patentee objectively intended as his or her invention. The proper test as to whether reissue claims are for the same invention as that disclosed as being the invention in the original patent is "an essentially factual inquiry confined to the objective intent manifested by the original patent." In re Amos, 953 F.2d 613, 618, 21 USPQ2d 1271, 1274 (Fed. Cir. 1991) (quoting In re Rowand, 526 F.2d 558, 560, 187 USPQ 487, 489 (CCPA 1975)) (emphasis added). See also In re Mead, 581 F.2d 257, 198 USPQ 412 (CCPA 1978). The "original patent" requirement of 35 U.S.C. 251 must be understood in light of In re Amos, supra, where the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit stated:

We conclude that, under both *Mead* and *Rowand*, a claim submitted in reissue may be rejected under the "original patent" clause if

of disclosure sufficient to indicate that a patentee could have claimed the subject matter. Merely finding that the subject matter was "not originally claimed, not an object of the original patent, and not depicted in the drawing," does not answer the essential inquiry under the "original patent" clause of § 251, which is whether one skilled in the art, reading the specification, would identify the subject matter of the new claims as invented and disclosed by the patentees. In short, the absence of an "intent," even if objectively evident from the earlier claims, the drawings, or the original objects of the invention is simply not enough to establish that the new claims are not drawn to the invention disclosed in the original patent.

953 F.2d at 618-19, 21 USPQ2d at 1275. Claims presented in a reissue application are considered to satisfy the requirement of 35 U.S.C. 251 that the claims be "for the invention disclosed in the original patent" where:

(A) the claims presented in the reissue application are described in the original patent specification and enabled by the original patent specification such that 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph is satisfied; and

(B) nothing in the original patent specification indicates an intent not to claim the subject matter of the claims presented in the reissue application.

(MPEP 1412.01, Emphasis Added)

The claims of the present reissue application are clearly described in the original patent specification for the '034 patent, and are likewise enabled by the original patent specification for the '034 patent, such that 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, is satisfied.

Further, there is nothing in the original patent specification for the '034 patent which indicates an intent not to claim the subject matter of the claims of the present reissue application.

In short, the Applicants do not believe that a limitation from the issued independent claims of the '034 patent is required within the independent claims of the present reissue application in order to satisfy the "original invention" requirement.

3. Response to § 112 Rejection

Claim 17 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicants regard as the invention.

The Examiner is thanked for identifying this error in the dependency of claim 17. The Applicants have amended claim 17 to be dependent upon claim 10, as correctly assumed by the Examiner.

4. Response to § 103 Rejections

The Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections for the reasons set out below, and ask the Examiner for reconsideration. The Applicants have further also slightly amended the claims to define clearly the intended import of the claims.

To establish a prima facie case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. The teaching or suggestion to make the

claimed combination and the reasonable expectation of success must both be found in the prior art, and not based on applicant's disclosure. <u>In re Vaeck</u>, 947 F.2d 488, 20 USPQ2d 1438 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

THE PRIOR ART REFERENCES DO NOT TEACH OR SUGGEST ALL CLAIM LIMITATIONS, WHEN CONSIDERED SINGULARLY OR IN COMBINATION.

Taking claim 10 of the present reissue application as an exemplary claim for discussion purposes, the Office Action contends that "Florin does not explicitly disclose:

order the item by a single action with the client; and

wherein the enabling of the user to order the item by a single action includes 1) previously stored user related personal information that is stored in a memory associated with the client for repeated use in enabling further orders for further items to be placed and so that it is not necessary to solicit the user related personal information each time a further order is placed and 2) previously received information related to the item being offered for sale at the time of the single action." (Office Action, page 6-7)

The Office Action then goes on to state that Schlafly discloses ordering of the item by a single action with the user, and points to the disclosures in Schlafly, quoted immediately below, in support of this position:

Once the item has been specified it can be reviewed and modified or it can be stored in a send memory at 92 and later caused to be sent at 94 by automatic dialing of the local processor center 14. Once communication has been established, the entire content of the send memory is transmitted in a burst by

actuation of an internal modem (modulator/demodulator) 96 and the local center commences processing at 98. The send data is initially checked at 98 for verification of some of the data and a return is transmitted the data terminal at 100. The connection is then broken by the LPC and at 102 and the terminal goes "on hook". The message represented by the return is displayed at 104.

(Schlafly, column 7, lines 35-47).

However, immediately preceding the above-quoted disclosure in Schlafly, the below disclosure is provided regarding actions that are required by a user:

Operation of a data terminal 12 in the case of ordering of merchandise from various mail order suppliers is illustrated with FIGS. 3-6. FIG. 4 shows a portion 80 of a page from a Sears catalog. FIG. 5 illustrates a portion 82 of a J.C. Penney Catalog page.

As an example of a catalog order data entry, at 84 in FIG. 3 and after turn on, the user's individual subscriber secret personal authorization number, a four digit number for example, promptly by a word display, is entered. This is followed by sequentially occurring prompts, which permit entry of additional order key actuations in accordance with suitable accompanying operating instructions.

The first set of prompts at 86 calls for the entry of a code specifying the supplier by its catalog and a page number. The second set of prompts at 88 requires specification of the nature of the transaction, e.g. catalog number and special charge and shipping instructions if required. The third set of prompts at 90

requires entry of the quantity and options such as color and size when these are needed to properly specify the desired item.

(Schlafly, column 7, lines 13-34).

Clearly, the ordering of an item, as disclosed in Schlafly, requires more than a single action with respect to the client. Specifically, to perform a catalogue order utilizing the system and methodology disclosed in Schlafly, a user is required to provide an individual subscriber secret personal authorization number, which is followed by sequentially occurring prompts responsive to which the user enters a code specifying a supplier by a catalogue and page number, the nature of the transaction, and the quantity and options pertaining to the relevant order.

In short, Schlafly simply does not disclose placing an order for an item by a single action with respect to a client, but instead clearly discloses a sequence of actions. Schlafly is accordingly cumulative with the disclosures provided in Florin.

Claim 1, as indicated above, has also been amended to include a limitation corresponding substantially to limitations of claims 25 and 26, claim 26 now having been cancelled. Specifically, claim 10 now recites the receiving of data at the client, the data including information to at least one of show and describe the item via the client, and an item identifier to enable the client to identify the item as currently being offered for sale. Claim 10 has also now been amended to recite that the enabling of the user to place the order for the item via the single action includes utilizing the received item identifier.

The above remarks also address the further rejections presented under 35 U.S.C. §103 against the other claims of the present reissue application. Specifically, the further independent claims each include limitations corresponding approximately to those of claim 10. The dependent claims are deemed to include all limitations of claims from which they depend, and the above remarks similarly address the rejections presented under 35 U.S.C. §103 against these dependent claims.

In light of the above, the Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103 have been overcome, and withdrawal of these rejections is therefore respectfully requested.

5. Conclusion

Having tendered the above remarks, and amended the claims as indicated herein, the Applicants respectfully submit that all rejections have been addressed and that the claims are now in a condition for allowance, which is earnestly solicited.

If there are any additional charges, please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2666. If a telephone interview would in any way expedite the prosecution of the present application, the Examiner is invited to contact André Marais at (408) 947-8200 ext. 204.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: // / / 2003

André L. Marais Reg. No. 48,095

12400 Wilshire Blvd. Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026 (408) 947-8200

Docket No.: 5214P001R

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re the Application of:

KURIAÇOSE JOSEPH, ET AL.

Application No.: 09/672,523

Filed: September 27, 2000

A METHOD AND SYSTEM TO FACILITATE For:

ORDERING OF AN ITEM (AS AMENDED)

Art Group: 3621

Examiner: Alexander G. Kalinowski

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

15:1

ا ایو^د 11

1

į نيار (

i-

HE WELL

In accordance with 37 C.F. R. § 1.136(a), Applicants for the above-identified application respectfully Petition the Commissioner for a one (1) month extension of time, extending the period for response to November 10, 2003, from the Office Action dated July 09, 2003. The petition filing fee of \$110.00 and an Amendment and Response to Office Action are attached.

If it should be determined that a longer extension of time is required to prevent this application from being abandoned, please charge any additional fees to Deposit Account No. 02-2666. A copy of the Fee Transmittal is enclosed for deposit account charging purposes.

Respectfully submitted,

Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP

Date: November 10, 2003

André L. Marais, Reg. No. 48,095

60 S. Market Street, Suite 510

San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 947-8200

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via

facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and

Tradenyajik Office.

D. Rogan

Date

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

TELEPHONE: (408) 947-8200

11-10-03

Intellectual Property Law 60 S. Market Street, Suite 510 San Jose, CA 95113

FACSIMILE: (408) 947-8280

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

NOV 1 0 2003

Date: November 10, 2003 Number of pages 30 including this sheet. Filing Date: 9/27/2000					
Number of pages 30 including this sheet. Filing Date: 9/27/2000					
Filing Date: 9/27/2000					
Filing Date: 9/27/2000					
_					
De-lest Due Deteles 10/0/0002 11/0/0002					
Docket Due Date(s): 10/9/2003 11/9/2003					
Issue Fee Transmittal					
Notice of Appeal					
Petition for:					
Request for Continued Examination (RCE)					
Reply Brief (pgs)					
Request & Certification Under 35 USC 122(b)(2)(B)(i)					
Request to Rescind Previous Nonpublication Request					
Response to Notice of Missing Parts & Formalities Letter					
Response to Written Opinion (pgs)					
Terminal Disclaimer					
Transmittal of Publication Fee Due					
Transmittal Letter					

Confidentiality Note: The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information from the law firm of Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman which is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

If you do not receive all the pages, or if there is any difficulty in receiving, please call: (408) 947-8200 and ask for Leslie D. Rogan.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Application No.	09/672,523				
			Filing Date	September 27, 2000				
			First Named Inventor	Kuriacose Joseph				
			Art Unit	3621				
			Examiner Name	Alexander G. Kalinowski				
Total Number of	Pages in This Submiss	ion 28	Attorney Docket Number	5214P001R				
	ENCLO	SURES (chec	k all that apply)					
Fee Transmitta	! Form	Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Group				
Fee Atta	ched	Licensing-r	related Papers	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences				
Amendment / R	tesponse	Petition		Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)				
After Fin	al a/declaration(s)	Petition to 0 Provisional	Convert a Application	Proprietary Information				
Extension of Ti	me Request	Power of A Change of	ttorney, Revocation Correspondence Address	Status Letter				
Express Aband	onment Request	Terminal Di	isclaimer	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):				
Information Dis	dosure Statement	Request for	Refund					
PTO/SB/	08	CD, Numbe	er of CD(s)					
Certified Copy of Document(s)	of Priority .							
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		Remarks						
Basic	: Filing Fee	Remarks						
<u> </u>	aration/POA							
Response Parts und 1.52 or 1.	e to Missing ler 37 CFR 53							
	SIGNATURE	OF APPLICAN	T, ATTORNEY, OR AG	ENT				
Firm	André L. Marais	, Reg. No. 48,	095					
or Individual name	BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP							
Signature	SHICE							
Date	October 11, 20							
			NG/TRANSMISSION					
hereby certify that thi rademark Office.	s correspondence is bei	ng transmitted via	facsimile on the date show	n below to the United States Patent and				
Typed or printed na	me Leslie D. Rog							
Signature	Trale	e N. K.	791 D	November 10, 2003				

Complete (if applicable)

Telephone

Date

(408) 947-8200

10/11/03

F-526

83sed on PTO/SB17 (08:03) as modified by Belkoly, Solckoff, Taylor & Zafman (wir) 08:11/2003, SEND TO: Commissioner for Palenta, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

 $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$

Andrė L. Marais

SUBMITTED BY

Name (Print/Type)

Signature

Registration No.

48,095

FEE TRANSMITTAL	Complete if Known									
		Application Number 09/672,523								
for FY 2003		Filing Date Sept				tember 27, 2000				
Effective 01/01/2003. Patent fees am subject to annual revision.						iacose Joseph				
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.		Examiner Name Alc				xander G. K	alinowski			
		Group/Art			362					
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 110	.00	Attorney l	Docket	No.	521	POOIR				
METHOD OF DAVMENT (shook all that apply)				FF	E CALCULAT	ON (continu	ed)			
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)	3.	ADDITIO	NAI F	_		(contain				
Check Credit card Money Cothor Name			i 474ko F		•					
Deposit Account		rgo Entity		i Entity	•					
Deposit C	Coc		Fee Code	Fee (\$)	£	e Description		Fee Paid		
Account 02-2666			70.00	65	Surcharge - late film	_ ··•				
Number	105 105	-	2051 2052	25	Surcharge - late pro					
Deposit Account Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP	1	ļ			cover sheet.					
Name	205	_	2059	130	Non-English spection					
The Commissioner is authorized to: (check of that apply)	191		1	2,520 920 °	For fling a roquest f Requesting publicati		Mnću)			
Cherge feo(s) indicated below Great any overpayments	180	=, 34U	1804	920	Examiner action	and the part w				
Charge any additional fee(s) required under 37 CFR §5 1.16, 1.17, 1.18 and 1.20.	180	5 1,840°	1805	1,840 '	Requesting publicat Examiner action	ion of SIR after				
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee it is above-identified deposit account	125	1 110	2251	55	Extension for reply w	rithin first month		110,00		
FEE CALCULATION	125		2252	210	Extension for reply w	rithin speed month	ı			
	125		2253	475	Extension for reply w	rithin third month		<u> </u>		
1: BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity	125		2254	740	Extension for reply w	ithin fourth month				
Fee Fon Fon Fee Fee Description Fee Paid	125		2268	606	Extension for reply w	ithin fifth month		 		
Cipito (R) Code (R)	140		2401	185	Notice of Appeal					
1051 770 2001 385 Littly filing fee	140		2402	165	Filing a brief in supp	ort of an appeal				
1002 340 2002 170 Design filing fee	140		2403	145	Request for oral hea	idhg				
100s 530 2003 255 Plant Ring fee 2004 385 Reissue filing fee	145			1,510	Petition to institute a	public use proceed	ling			
	145	2 110	2452	55	Pedian to revive - u	navoidable				
	145		2453	865	Pedition to revive - u	nintentional				
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)	150	1 1,350	2501	065	Utility issue fee (or r	BiSSu 0)				
2 EXTRA CLAIM FEES Extra Fee from	150		2902	240	Design issue fee	5				
Claims below Fee Paid	150	3 840	2503	320	Piant Issue fee					
Total-Claims x = x	146	0 130	2460	130	Petitions to the Com	missioner				
Independent 3 = X	180	7 50	1837	50	Processing fee unde	7 37 CFR 1.17(q)				
Multiple Dependent	180	8 180	1806	180	Submission of Infor	nation Disclosure S	teret			
Largo Eraby Smell Entity	802	1 40	8021	40	Recording each part					
For Fee Fee Fee <u>Fee Description</u> Code (5) Code (5)	180	, 770	1809	385	property (times num Filing a aubmission a			 		
1202 18 2202 9 Claims in excess of 20	,		,		(a7 ČFR § t,129(⊋))	_				
1201 88 2201 43 Independent claims in excess of 3	1816	770	2910	385	For each additional texamined (37 CFR §	6 45D/h1\				
1203 290 2203 145 Multiple Dependent claim, if not paid	1801	1 770	2801	385	Request for Continue	·	E)	 		
1204 86 2204 43 "Reissub independent claims over original patent	180		1802	900	Request for expedito		-			
1205 16 2205 9 "Raidsue claims in excess of 20 and over		foe (specify)	- 4-44		of a design applicable					
ariginal patent		())								
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)										
**or number previously pold, if greater, For Relssues, see below	- Feeduc	ed by Besic Filing	⊢ee Pa ki			SUBTOTAL (3)	(\$)	110.00		
SUBMITTED BY Complete (If applicable)										
SUBMITTED BY	T	Registratio	n No.	T-	0.005					
Name (Print/Type) André L. Marais		(Attomer/Agont)			8,095	Telephone	(408) 94	/-8200		
Signature						Date	10/1	1/03		
	200g					10010				
lagad on PTO/SB/17 (08-03) as monified by Blakely, Solokott, Taylor & Zalmon (wir) 08/11/2003. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patants, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450										